

APPLICATION NO.

09/469,987

8791

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

12/21/1999

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

02/10/2006

7590

SEVENTH FLOOR

12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usoto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10559/094001	5632

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2665

NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

MARK L. SKARPNESS

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/469,987	SKARPNESS, MARK L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phuongchau Ba Nguyen	2665
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9-9-5	5.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-23 and 32-39 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-31 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-23 and 32-39 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) U Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/469,987 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 20, 22, 32, 36-39 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eames (6,208,637) in view of Roger (6,346,964).

 Regarding claims 20, 32, 36-39:

Eames (6,208,637) discloses a telephony over broadband telephony adapter (combined data and telephony 1604, fig.16b); comprising:

- a device controller (1622, fig.16b) to couple to a host controller (1700) of a broadband modem (ADSL modem 1600, fig.17);
 - a modulator (code 1640, fig.16b) to modulate telephony information;
- a transcoder (1638, fig.16b) to transcode information between said device controller and said modulator; and

Application/Control Number: 09/469,987

Art Unit: 2665

one or more subscriber line interface circuits (SLIC 1640, fig.16b) to couple one or more telephony devices (to telephone via RJ11) to said modulator; and

a memory device (flash 1634-fig.16b) which connected to the device controller (1622);

an expansion port (twisted pair drop cable 180-fig.16b) to include a signal to carry information (of devices connecting to the second adapter via the other USAM 340-fig.3) not associated with the one or more telephone numbers that are to be serviced by the broadband telephony adapter (the first adapter 1604, fig.16b) to a second broadband telephony adapter (the second adapter, not shown, that could connect to the other USAM 340-fig.3)

wherein the one or more telephony devices are able to communicate over a broadband line (180, figs.16) via the broadband modem (1602) by communicating via the host controller (1700) of the broadband modem (1600).

Eames does not explicitly (1) a memory device (flash 1634-fig.16b) to store one or more telephone numbers that are to be serviced by the broadband telephony adapter, wherein the device controller (1622) separates information

Art Unit: 2665

associated with the one or more telephone numbers, and wherein the one or more telephone numbers are associated with the one or more telephony devices, wherein an additional telephone number to be serviced by the broadband telephony adapter may be obtained by programming the additional number into the memory device; (2) wherein a new telephone number maybe added to be serviced by the broadband telephony adapter by programming the new telephone number into the memory device; (3) wherein the separated information associated with the one or more telephone numbers is forwarded to the one or more telephony devices associated with the one or more telephone numbers.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Roger (6,346,964) discloses in figure 2 "telephone numbers associated with each party can be stored in a memory associated with controller 257-fig.2 for reference. A displayable address book can be provided to store names, addresses and other information such as a digitized bitmap image of each user,"(corresponding to (1-3)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to provide high quality

Application/Control Number: 09/469,987

Art Unit: 2665

audio, data and video teleconferencing between two or more telephone users in an office connected through a telephone switch such as PBX.

Page 5

Regarding claim 22:

Eames further comprises an expansion hub (BDT 130, fig.3) to connect additional devices (in the new network, i.e., ATM network) to the host controller via the expansion hub.

Claims 21, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 3. over Eames (6,208,637) in view of Roger (6,346,964) as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Barzegar (6,347,075).

Regarding claims 21, 34:

Eames does not explicitly disclose wherein said device controller (1622, fig. 16b) is configured to operate in compliance with one of a Universal Serial Bus standard, a Firewire standard, and a wireless communication standard. However, in the same field of endeavor, Barzegar (6,347,075) discloses in figure 2 IEEE 1394 112 (firewire). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an Art Unit: 2665

artisan to apply Barzegar's teaching to Eames' system with the motivation being to allow incorporating the desired processing digital data onto the data network.

Claims 23, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 4. over Eames (6,208,637) in view of Roger (6,346,964) as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Bauer (6.061,450).

Regarding claims 23, 35:

Eames does not explicitly disclose wherein the telephony device is able to communicate via the host controller of the broadband modem via wireless transmission between the telephony device and the broadband telephony adapter. However, in the same field of endeavor, Bauer (6.061,450) discloses wherein the telephony device is able to communicate via the host controller of the broadband modem via wireless transmission between the telephony device and the broadband telephony adapter (figure 5, 202, 507). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply Bauer's teaching to Eames' system

Art Unit: 2665

with the motivation being to provide long distance call routing utilizing the wireless connection.

5. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eames (6,208,637) in view of Roger (6,346,964) as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Bhatia (6,118,768).

Regarding claim 33:

Eames discloses wherein the telephony adapter (1604, fig.16b) has a telephone number associated therewith (inherent with the telephone, fig.16b).

Eames does not explicitly wherein said hub circuit (Ethernet hub) routes telephony information associated with telephony adapter to the first telephony device, and routes other telephony information to the at least one additional telephony device. However, in the same field of endeavor, Bhatia (6,118,768) discloses wherein said hub circuit (Ethernet hub, 340, fig.1) routes telephony information associated with telephony adapter to the first telephony device, and routes other telephony information to the at least one additional telephony device (fig.1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan to apply

Application/Control Number: 09/469,987 Page 8

Art Unit: 2665

Bhatia's teaching to Eames' system with the motivation being to bi-directionally route digital on either or both B-channels of ISDN connection between PSTN and analog telephone devices.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 10-26-5 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- A/. Applicant argued that the combination of Eames (6,208,637) and Rogers (6,346,964) does not disclose "wherein an additional telephone number to be serviced by the broadband telephony adapter may be obtained by programming the additional number into the memory device".

In reply, applicant is directed to figure 2 wherein there are telephone numbers associated with each party can be stored in a memory associated with controller 257 for reference. Thus, saving another telephone number into the memory device to be used later by a user party is a common practice.

Application/Control Number: 09/469,987

Art Unit: 2665

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 9

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuongchau Ba Nguyen whose

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/469,987

Art Unit: 2665

telephone number is 571-272-3148. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866–217–9197 (toll-free).

DUCHO PRIMARY EXAMINER

02-07-06

Phuongchau Ba Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2665